

ITEM NO.301

COURT NO.8

SECTION X

**S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A**  
**RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS**

WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) NO.531/2025

AYESHA JAIN

PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

AMITY UNIVERSITY, NOIDA & ORS.

RESPONDENT(S)

IA No. 68402/2026 - APPLICATION FOR WAIVER OF ADDITIONAL COSTS  
IA No. 111048/2026 - APPROPRIATE ORDERS/DIRECTIONS  
IA No. 64589/2026 - CONDONATION OF DELAY IN FILING  
IA No. 27061/2026 - CONDONATION OF DELAY IN FILING  
IA No. 176647/2026 - CONDONATION OF DELAY IN FILING THE SPARE COPIES  
IA No. 30205/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING AFFIDAVIT  
IA No. 158893/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.  
IA No. 69268/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.  
IA No. 64699/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.  
IA No. 44993/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.  
IA No. 26889/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.  
IA No. 4259/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.  
IA No. 104611/2025 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.  
IA No. 64954/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM PERSONAL APPEARANCE  
IA No. 7443/2026 - INTERVENTION APPLICATION  
IA No. 121977/2026 - INTERVENTION/IMPLEADMENT  
IA No. 39755/2026 - INTERVENTION/IMPLEADMENT  
IA No. 173/2026 - INTERVENTION/IMPLEADMENT  
IA No. 7444/2026 - PERMISSION TO APPEAR AND ARGUE IN PERSON  
IA No. 176/2026 - PERMISSION TO APPEAR AND ARGUE IN PERSON  
IA No. 26779/2026 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES  
IA No. 69267/2026 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES  
IA No. 64700/2026 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES  
IA No. 27039/2026 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES

Date : 17-09-2026 This matter was called for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AHSANUDDIN AMANULLAH  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE N.V. ANJARIA

**For Petitioner(s) : Ms. Charu Mathur, AoR**

**For Respondent(s) :**

**Mr. Ashok K. Mahajan, AoR  
Mr. Amitesh Kumar, Adv.  
Mr. Rajan Chawla, Adv.  
Mr. Gautam Chauhan, Adv.  
Mr. Shyam Singh, Adv.  
Mr. S.B.Singh, Adv.  
(R-1: AMITY UNIVERSITY, NOIDA)**

**Ms. Archana Pathak Dave, A.S.G.  
Mr. Raghav Sharma, Adv.  
Mr. Divyam Aggarwal, Adv.  
Mr. Kamal Digpaul, Adv.  
Mr. Abhishek Karnik, Adv.  
Ms. Harshita Choubey, Adv.  
Mr. Parmod Kumar Vishnoi, Adv.  
Ms. Madhulika Upadhyay, AoR, Adv.  
(R- 2: MINISTRY OF EDUCATION)  
(R-4.1 : MINISTRY OF HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE)  
(R-4.3 MINISTRY OF LAW AND JUSTICE)  
(R-4.4 MINISTRY OF AYUSH)**

**Mr. Shailendra Tiwary, Adv.  
Ms. Madhulika Upadhyay, AoR  
(R-4.2: DEPARTMENT OF ANIMAL HUSBANDRY & DAIRYING AND FISHERIES)**

**Mr. Manoj Ranjan Sinha, Adv.  
Mr. Vishal Agrawal, Adv.  
Mr. Mrigank Prabhakar, AoR  
(R-3 : UNIVERSITY GRANTS COMMISSION)**

**Ms. Archana Pathak Dave, A.S.G.  
Mr. Raghav Sharma, Adv.  
Mr. Divyam Aggarwal, Adv.  
Mr. Kamal Digpaul, Adv.  
Mr. Arkaj Kumar, Adv.  
Mr. Abhishek Karnik, Adv.  
Mr. Raman Yadav, Adv.  
Ms. Harshita Choubey, Adv.  
Mr. Parmod Kumar Vishnoi, Adv.  
Mr. Arvind Kumar Sharma AoR, Adv.  
(R-4: UNION OF INDIA THROUGH CABINET SECRETARY)**

Ms. Manisha T.Karia, Sr. A.A.G.  
Mr. Pashupathi Nath Razdan, AoR  
Mr. Abhinav Shrivastava, Adv.  
Ms. Maitreyee Jagat Joshi, Adv.  
Mr. Astik Gupta, Adv.  
Ms. Akanksha Tomar, Adv.  
(R-5: STATE OF MADHYA PRADESH)

Mr. Pitambar Acharya,, Advocate General  
Mr. P. V. Yogeswaran, AoR  
Ms. Shailja Singh, Adv.  
(R-6: STATE OF ODISHA)

Mr. Parth Awasthi, Adv.  
Mr. Pashupathi Nath Razdan, AoR  
(R-36:U.T. OF JAMMU & KASHMIR)

Ms. Pallavi Langar, AoR  
Ms. Pragya Baghel, Adv.  
Ms. Anushka Raghunath, Adv.  
Mr. Sujeet Kumar Chaubey, Adv.  
(R- 7: STATE OF JHARKHAND)

Ms. Ruchira Goel, AoR  
Mr. Sharanya, Adv.  
Ms. Rishika Rishabh, Adv.  
Ms. Isha Sharma, Adv.  
Ms. Krithi Ballal, Adv.  
(R-8: STATE OF UTTAR PRADESH)

Mr. Guntur Pramod Kumar, AoR  
Ms. Prerna Singh, Adv.  
Mr. Dhruv Yadav, Adv.  
(R-9: STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH)

Mr. Ajay Tewari, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Siddhant Awasthy, Adv.  
Ms. Eliza Barr, Adv.  
Ms. Anjali Saxena, Adv.  
Mr. Saanil Pathak, Adv.  
Ms. Akansha, AoR  
(R-10: STATE OF ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Mr. Nalin Kohli, Sr. Adv.  
Ms. Nimisha Menon, AoR  
Ms. Ruchira Joshi, Adv.  
(R-11: STATE OF ASSAM)

Mr. Vinayak Sharma, Standing Counsel, Adv.  
Mr. Ravinder Kumar Yadav, AoR  
(R-13: STATE OF CHHATTISGARH)

Mrs. Shirin Khajuria, Sr. Adv.  
Ms. Bhavana Duhoon, AoR  
Ms. Swati Tiwari, Adv.  
(R-14: STATE OF GOA)

Mr. Lokesh Sinhal, Sr. A.A.G.  
Mr. Samar Vijay Singh, AoR  
Ms. Sabarni Som, Adv.  
Mr. Aman Dev Sharma, Adv.  
Mr. Gaj Singh, Adv.  
(R-16: STATE OF HARYANA)

Mr. Vaibhav Srivastava, A.A.G.  
Ms. Sugandha Anand, AoR  
Mr. Danish Azad, Adv.  
(R-17: STATE OF HIMACHAL PRADESH)

Mr. Nishanth Patil, A.A.G.  
Mr. Arijit Dey, Adv.  
Mr. Sanchit Garga, AoR  
(R-18: STATE OF KARNATAKA)

Mr. Zulfiker Ali P. S, AoR  
Ms. Anna Oommen, Adv.  
Ms. Lebina Baby, Adv.  
(R-19: STATE OF KERALA)

Mr. Aaditya Aniruddha Pande, AoR  
Mr. Siddharth Dharmadhikari, Adv.  
Mr. Shrirang B. Varma, Adv.  
Ms. Chitransha Singh Sikarwar, Adv.  
(R-20: STATE OF MAHARASHTRA)

Mr. Pukhrambam Ramesh Kumar, AoR  
Mr. Karun Shamra, Adv.  
Ms. Rajkumari Divyasana, Adv.  
(R-21 : STATE OF MANIPUR)

Mr. Avijit Mani Tripathi, AoR  
Mr. Z.H. Isaac Haiding, Adv.  
Mr. Daniel Stone Lyngdoh, Adv.  
Ms. Marbiang Khongwir, Adv.  
(R-22 : STATE OF MEGHALAYA)

Mr. Anando Mukherjee, AoR  
Mr. Shwetank Singh, Adv.  
Mr. Utkarsh Anand, Adv.  
(R- 23: STATE OF MIZORAM)

Ms. K. Enatoli Sema, AoR  
Mr. Amit Kumar Singh, Adv.  
Ms. Chubalemla Chang, Adv.  
(R-24: STATE OF NAGALAND)

Mr. Maninderjit Singh Bedi, Advocate General  
Mr. Siddhant Sharma, AoR  
Mr. Gaurav Dhamma, Adv.  
(R- 25: STATE OF PUNJAB)

Mr. Vikramjeet Banerjee, A.S.G.  
Mr. Saurabh Rajpal, AoR  
Mr. Kritin Sardana, Adv.  
Mr. Prashant Rawat, Adv.  
Mr. Sahil Bhalotia, Adv.  
Mr. Hritik Pathak, Adv.  
(R-26 : STATE OF RAJASTHAN)

Mr. Sameer Abhyankar, AoR  
Mr. Aakash Thakur, Adv.  
(R-27: STATE OF SIKKIM)

Ms. Haripriya Padhmanaban, AAG  
Ms. Kanika Kalaiyarasan, AoR  
(R-28: STATE OF TAMIL NADU)

Mr. S. Niranjana Reddy, Sr. Adv.  
Ms. Devina Sehgal, AoR  
Mr. Yatharth Kansal, Adv.  
(R-29: STATE OF TELANGANA)

Mr. Shuvodeep Roy, AoR  
Mr. Saurabh Tripathi, Adv.  
Mr. Deepayan Dutta, Adv.  
(R-30: STATE OF TRIPURA)

Mr. Jatinder Kumar Sethi, D.A.G.  
Mr. Ashutosh Kumar Sharma, AoR  
Mr. G.P. Mahto, Adv.  
Mr. Vikas Negi, Adv.  
Ms. Adrija Dutt, Adv.  
Mr. Harsh Singh, Adv.  
(R-31:STATE OF UTTARAKHAND)

Mr. Uddyam Mukherjee, AoR  
Mr. Swapnil Pattanayak, Adv.  
Ms. Kratika Kushwaha, Adv.  
(R-32: STATE OF WEST BENGAL)

Mr. Tushar Mehta, Solicitor General (NP)  
Ms. Aishwarya Bhati, A.S.G.(NP)  
Mr. Mukesh Kumar Verma, Adv.  
Mr. Shreekant Neelappa Terdal, AoR  
(R-33: U.T. OF ANDAMAN & NICOBAR ISLANDS)

Mr. Tushar Mehta, Solicitor General (NP)  
Ms. Aishwarya Bhati, A.S.G.(NP)  
Mr. Harish Pandey, Adv.  
Mr. Shreekant Neelappa Terdal, AoR  
( R-34: U.T. OF DADRA & NAGAR HAVELI AND DAMAN & DIU)

Mr. Tushar Mehta, Solicitor General (NP)  
Ms. Aishwarya Bhati, A.S.G.(NP)  
Mr. Pratyush Shrivastava, AAG  
Mr. Mukul Singh, Adv.  
Mr. Piyush Beriwal, Adv.  
Mr. Ankit Raj, Adv.  
Mr. Shreekant Neelappa Terdal, AoR  
(R-37: U.T. OF LADAKH)

Mr. Tushar Mehta, Solicitor General (NP)  
Ms. Aishwarya Bhati, A.S.G.(NP)  
Mr. Shreekant Neelappa Terdal, AoR  
(R-38: U.T. OF LAKSHDWEEP)

Mr. Tushar Mehta, Solicitor General (NP)  
Ms. Aishwarya Bhati, A.S.G.(NP)  
Mr. Krishna Kant Dubey, Adv.  
(R-40: U.T. OF CHANDIGARH)

Ms. Swati Ghildiyal, AoR  
Ms. Aditi Agarwal, Adv.  
(R-15:STATE OF GUJARAT)

Ms. Swati Ghildiyal, AoR  
Mr. Mohit Chaudhary, Adv.  
Ms. Aditi Agarwal, Adv.  
(R-35:GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI)

Mr. Aravindh S., AoR  
Ms. Anika Bansal, Adv.  
(R-39: U.T. OF PUDUCHURRY)

**Mr. Amit Meharia, Adv.**

**Mr. Abinash Agarwal, Adv.**

**Mr. Sambhav, Adv.**

**For M/S. Meharia & Company, AoR**

**(R-42 : NATIONAL MEDICAL COMMISSION)**

**(R-43 : THE UNDER-GRADUATE MEDICAL EDUCATION BOARD)**

**(R-44 : THE POST-GRADUATE MEDICAL EDUCATION BOARD)**

**(R-45 : MEDICAL ASSESSMENT AND RATING BOARD)**

**(R-46 : THE ETHICS AND MEDICAL REGISTRATION BOARD)**

**Mr. Prateek Bhatia, AoR**

**(R-47: DENTAL COUNCIL OF INDIA)**

**Mr. V.S.R. Krishna, Adv.**

**Mr. Arpit Shukla, AoR**

**Mr. V Shashank Kumar, Adv.**

**(R-48: INDIAN NURSING COUNCIL)**

**Mr. Ajay Kumar Singh, AoR**

**Mr. Amresh Kumar, Adv.**

**(R-49: PHARMACY COUNCIL OF INDIA)**

**Ms. Arunima Dwivedi, AoR**

**Mr. Suman Ghosh, Adv.**

**Ms. Swati Jhunhunwala, Adv.**

**(R-50: NATIONAL COMMISSION FOR ALLIED & HEALTH PROFESSIONS)**

**Mr. Akshay Amritanshu, AoR**

**Mr. Sarthak Srivastava, Adv.**

**Mr. Utkarsh Pai, Adv.**

**(R-51: BAR COUNCIL OF INDIA)**

**(R-12: STATE OF BIHAR)**

**Ms. Ankita Chaudhary, AoR**

**Mr. Parmod Kumar Vishnoi, Adv.**

**Mr. Daves Kumar, Adv.**

**Mr. Chand Kapoor, Adv.**

**Mr. Gyanendra Narayan Sah, Adv.**

**Mr. Aditya Kumar, Adv.**

**(R-52: NATIONAL COMMISSION FOR INDIAN SYSTEM OF MEDICINE)**

**Ms. Ankita Chaudhary, AoR**

**Mr. Chand Kapoor, Adv.**

**Mr. Shreyas Balaji, Adv.**

**Mr. Parmod Kumar Vishnoi, Adv.**

**(R-53: NATIONAL COMMISSION FOR HOMEOPATHY)**

**Mr. Upendra Mishra, Adv.**

**Mr. Sanjay Kumar Singh, AoR**

**(R-54: VETERINARY COUNCIL OF INDIA)**

**INTERVENORS**

Dr. N. Visakamurthy, AoR  
(Appearance slip not given)

Mr. K. Saiteja, Adv.  
Mr. Rizwan Ahmad, AoR  
(IMPL: DR. R.N. SHANKAR)

Intervenor-in-person  
(Apperance slip not given)

M/S. Dubey & Chandra Law Chambers, AoR  
(Appearance slip not given)

**O R D E R**

Heard learned Senior Counsel/Counsel for the parties.

2. Admission is one of the aspects which concerns the Court most. Basically, it is the public at large, for whom the system operates, especially in a matter where education is imparted. Thus, we make it clear that no private university shall be allowed to be run as a profit-making institution. It has to serve a purpose with some sort of a cushion so that its finances/resources are responsibly managed to ensure smooth functioning of the institution and that the teaching and non-teaching employees are compensated suitably. Beyond that, no sort of any diversion under any head, like payment of salaries to the Governing Board of Directors or providing facilities to such Members of the Board/Governing bodies



of such institutions shall be permitted. The States shall ensure that all Universities falling under their jurisdiction submit audited reports for the last five years with regard to the funds generated, their utilization, as also payments made to individuals who may not be directly involved in/related to the functioning of the university as an educational institution. The details shall be complete in all regards. Such universities shall also be required to furnish details of what benefits it has taken from the Central and State Government(s) with regard to allotment of land and other relaxations in the existing laws or any special/other privilege conferred on them.

3. The said details shall also disclose the exact procedure adopted for admitting students in each and every course which may be offered by that university. It shall also disclose the persons who are in-charge of admissions, setting of papers, conduct of examinations, evaluation of the answer sheets, handling of funds, as also the role of the management in these matters.

4. Details of the fee collected, both at the time of admission, as also during the tenure of the course and whether there are any collections by whatever name during such course, like development fund, funds for holding any special event, etc.

5. The manner in which surplus funds are dealt with, including investments made out of such funds, should be specifically dealt with in detail.

6. The mode of recruitment of teaching and non-teaching staff, the salaries and other emoluments attached thereto and the way in which the same are paid to such persons would also be fully disclosed in detail. Whether service conditions are specifically notified by the concerned university relating to the teaching and non-teaching staff and if so, details thereof shall also be disclosed. The details of who is the competent authority to allot classes to the teaching staff and details of all the teaching staff and how many classes were allotted to them and actually how many classes were taken by them and if not taken, what alternative arrangements have been made so that the students did not suffer due to non-availability of any teacher. Details starting from January, 2025, onwards be submitted with regard to this direction relating to allotment of classes to the teaching staff.

7. Mechanism in place relating to the redressal of grievances, giving details of its composition and the nature of grievances which can be gone into by such Committee(s), as also the exact number and details of complaints received and the result thereof, including the time frames, for the last three years, be clearly

specified in such affidavits.

8. Further details shall also be furnished by them with regard to the hospitals to which such colleges are attached. The relationship of the college with the hospital.

9. The affiliating/recognizing body shall furnish details of the inspections held in such universities/colleges relating to such recognition/affiliation giving details of the entire faculty, as also the supporting staff based on which such recognition/affiliation is granted. The names of the doctors in each and every post shall be disclosed by the concerned recognizing/affiliating body, viz., the National Medical Commission, Dental Council of India, National Commission for Allied and Healthcare Professions, Indian Nursing Council, Bar Council of India, National Commission for Indian System of Medicine, National Commission for Homeopathy, Veterinary Council of India and Pharmacy Council of India, for the last five years. Affidavit in this regard be filed, personally affirmed by the respective Chairman/President of such body.

10. The said affidavit shall be filed by the Union of India/concerned State/Union Territory Governments after getting the details from the universities and colleges concerned within six weeks from today, with advance copy to Dr. R.M. Sharma.

11. Any of the respondent(s) who are not represented today before this Court are imposed a fine of Rs.5,00,000/- (Rupees Five Lakhs) each, to be deposited within two weeks' from today in the Registry of this Court. Further, the highest authority, through whom the said respondent is represented, shall also be required to show cause as to why in the present proceedings, there was no representation on its behalf before the Court when the matter was taken up for hearing today.

12. The Union of India/concerned State/Union Territory Governments are cautioned not to withhold any information which they may also have in their possession relating to the universities/colleges on any aspect, including those indicated in the foregoing paragraphs of this order. It shall be the duty of the State to ensure that all the information is procured in time from the universities/colleges concerned, and no State will have a defence that they could furnish before this Court only the information which was forwarded to them by such university/college, as it is well within their powers, including taking of coercive measures, to ensure that whatever information the Court has directed under this order is brought on record in their affidavits to be filed by the Union of India/concerned State/Union Territory Governments , personally affirmed by their respective

Chief Secretaries.

13. All the learned Counsel for the respondents who have given their appearance online but without disclosing for whom they are appearing, their appearances shall stand rejected and the concerned respondent shall also be liable to pay the fine of Rs.5,00,000/- (Rupees Five Lakhs) as indicated. However, by way of indulgence, one opportunity to file physical appearance is given to them, if they have not disclosed in their online appearance the name and detail of the party for which they are appearing.

14. The affiliating/recognizing bodies, viz., The National Medical Council, National Dental Commission, National Commission for Allied and Healthcare Professions, National Nursing Council, Bar Council of India, National Commission for Indian System of Medicine, National Commission for Homeopathy, Veterinary Council of India and Pharmacy Council of India shall also, in addition, file details with regard to what deficiencies were pointed out in their inspection last done and whether the same have been removed by the concerned institution(s). The said institutions/bodies shall also file their responses on affidavits to be affirmed by the Chairman/President/Chief Executive Officer/Chief Controlling Officer of the said institution/body.

15. An Interlocutory Application has been filed by Dr.

R.M. Sharma, with regard to the safety of children in the schools of Delhi with regard to which he has been nominated as the Chairperson of a Committee, which was to inspect the said schools and file a report in W.P.(C) 10542/2023 which was on the Delhi High Court's own motion. It was submitted that many reports have been submitted and grave violations of norms, especially relating to the safety of children in the schools were brought to the notice of the Court. Thus, prayer is made that as the present matter also relates to the education system and in the said case no further directions have been issued and the last effective order was passed on 03.11.2023, this Court may pass appropriate orders.

16. Having considered the matter, we deem it appropriate to transfer the said case to this Court, to be tagged along with the present case. Let the same be done.

17. Registry is directed to communicate the order to the Registrar General of the Delhi High Court. The entire records of W.P.(C) 10542/2023, pending before the Delhi High Court, be transmitted to this Court.

18. Dr. R.M. Sharma, Chairman of the said Committee informs us that while inspecting, if any serious irregularity is found, the information of the same is also communicated to the Chief Secretary and thereafter a reports are prepared, which he has already submitted in

sealed covers to the High Court.

19. Learned counsel for the NCT of Delhi shall file its response within four weeks from today with regard to what action the Chief Secretary/Commissioner of Police/Commissioner, Municipal Corporation of Delhi and Cantonment Board of Delhi have taken in the said matter.

20. To facilitate the matter, let a copy of the reports which Dr. R.M. Sharma has submitted to the High Court, be served on learned counsel for the State of NCT of Delhi in a sealed cover. He shall take instructions and file personal affidavits of the Chief Secretary/Commissioner of Police, Delhi/the head of the concerned Department or Organization under whose jurisdiction the said schools are located within four weeks from today.

21. A copy shall also be served on the Central Agency to be forwarded to the appropriate learned counsel, who would be representing the Police Commissioner of NCT of Delhi. Let the same be done by Monday i.e., 21.09.2026

22. Two sets of such reports shall be handed over by Mr. Hemant Sharma, Member of Dr. R.M. Sharma's team to the Court Master of this Court from whom the counsel for the State of NCT, Delhi, as also for the Commissioner of Police, Delhi shall collect the same, by Tuesday i.e., 22.09.2026.

23. Dr. Sharma and his team are authorized to inspect the

Schools as per their convenience. All the Authorities, both of the schools concerned, of the State Government, the local Police shall fully cooperate with Dr. R.M. Sharma. He shall have the right to call for and inspect any document/material he would require for such inspection as an officer of this Court. The said shall be ensured by the Authorities concerned, both the civil side as well as the Police side.

24. Dr. R.M. Sharma is permitted to include two other Members for the purposes of carrying out the inspection of the Schools. We do not want anybody who is not interested to be even remotely connected with this exercise. The Court will take a call with regard to other Members on the next date depending on their cooperation and interest shown by them in the exercise.

25. The inspection of the Schools will continue with the two members as may be co-opted by Dr. R. M. Sharma. On the next day, we expect that more schools would be inspected. However, we make a request that, for the present, Schools may be selected on the basis of reports/inputs with regard to there being grave irregularities/deficiencies in such Schools.

26. Dr. R.M. Sharma has pointed out to us that a few schools are not permitting him to enter the premises for inspection. We make it clear that any Authority of any



School shall not prevent Dr. R.M. Sharma from entering and inspecting the Schools and shall fully cooperate with Dr. R.M. Sharma and the Members. Upon failure to do so, Dr. R. M. Sharma would inform the Chief Secretary, Government of Delhi as also the Commissioner of Police, Delhi, and they shall ensure that the work of such inspection is carried out without any hindrance from any corner.

27. At this juncture, we have been informed that the All India Council for Technical Education (AICTE) has also not been made a party in this case.

28. Accordingly, Commissioner of Police, Delhi and AICTE is also impleaded as respondents. Notice be issued to them forthwith.

29. The newly added respondents shall also file their affidavits through the head of those institutions in terms of all the orders of this Court.

30. The regulatory bodies of the Universities, be also provided with a copy of the consolidated chart and consolidated examination of the affidavits filed by them, as submitted by Dr. R.M. Sharma and Mr. Hemant Sharma, Member. The same be collected from Mr. Hemant Sharma, by the concerned counsels making a request to him, within one week.

31. With regard to the issue for which Mr. Ashok Prasad was nominated and has constituted a team of two more

Officers, an interim report has been submitted.

32. However, he submits that final report would be submitted soon. At this juncture, we make it clear that whatever request comes from Mr. Ashok Prasad and his team, for any document or a witness to come and get his/her statement recorded, the same shall be promptly complied with by the concerned Authority/person to whom such request is made. This direction is across the board to all who may be concerned or whom the team led by Mr. Ashok Prasad considers relevant, either with regard to providing information/documents or with regard to recording their statement. No person/Authority shall have the defense that just because they are not a party to the present proceeding, they shall not cooperate and if there is any attempt to do so, it will be construed as an act of defiance and contempt of this Court.

33. List on 19.11.2026 at 2.00 p.m.

34. After the order was dictated, learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that two issues are bothering the petitioner. Firstly, with regard to there being social media posts which are derogatory and defamatory for the petitioner, and secondly, that she may be provided with the CCTV footage from the Amity University, Noida with regard to the dates and times relating to the incidents which have given rise to the present proceedings.

35. Having regard to the first grievance, the Court finds that there is substance in such grievance. Accordingly, all social media posts are directed not to be uploaded, and if uploaded all content including AI generated contents relating to the incident which is being looked into in the present proceeding, shall be withdrawn.

36. Ministry of Electronics and Information Technology (MEITY) is directed to be impleaded as respondent through its Secretary.

37. The Secretary is directed to ensure compliance of this order by issuing appropriate directions to all concerned and to ensure that the same is complied with.

38. Ms. Archana Pathak Dave, learned ASG, accepts notice on behalf of the Ministry of Electronics and Information Technology. It shall be the duty of the Union of India to ensure compliance of this direction.

39. With regard to the second grievance, as it is the stand of the learned counsel for the Amity University, that all footage of the CCTV has been provided to Mr. Ashok Prasad, it shall be open to the petitioner to view the same and give her inputs, which in any case would be required by Mr. Ashok Prasad and his team, while examining the issue.

40. The reports submitted by Dr. R.M. Sharma and his team and the interim report along with other documents which

have been submitted by Mr. Ashok Prasad in a sealed cover, be resealed and kept on record and shall not be opened without the permission of this Court.

41. In the meantime, as honorarium for the services rendered by Dr. R.M. Sharma and his team, for the present, an amount of Rs. 10,00,000/- (Rupees Ten Lakh), shall be paid by the Department of Education, Government of India to Dr. R.M. Sharma as has been done in the past, within one week.

42. Likewise, an amount of Rs. 10,00,000/- (Rupees Ten Lakh), will be transferred by Amity University, Noida to Mr. Ashok Prasad in the manner it had been done in the past, within one week.

43. On the next date of hearing all the Committee Members of the School Monitoring Committee shall be present in Court.

(SACHIN SHRIVASTAVA)  
COURT MASTER (SH)

(POOJA SHARMA)  
AR-CUM-PS

(ANJALI PANWAR)  
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR