

राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग एवं अवसंरचना विकास निगम लिमिटेड

सड़क परिवहन और राजमार्ग मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार
प्रथम तल, टावर ए, वर्ल्ड ट्रेड सेंटर, नौरोजी नगर, नई दिल्ली-110029,

National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation Limited

Ministry of Road Transport & Highways, Government of India

First Floor, Tower A, World Trade Centre, Nauroji Nagar, New Delhi-110029, Tel: +91 11 26768950, www.nhidcl.com



(भारत सरकार का उद्यम)

(A Government of India Enterprise)

NHIDCL/Meghalaya/Tura Bypass/NH-51/2020/2940

Date: 19.08.2025

To,

All Bidders,

Sub: "Independent Engineer Services for Construction to 2-Lane with Paved Shoulder of Tura Bypass between Existing km 57.500 of NH-127B (near km 85.800 of NH-217) (design chainage km 0+000) and ending at existing km 108.750 of NH-217 (design chainage km 29+030) (Length= 29.030km) on Hybrid Annuity Mode - Result of Technical Evaluation- Reg.

Ref: NIT no. NHIDCL/Meghalaya/Tura Bypass/IE/2025 dated 15.05.2025 (Tender ID: 2025_NHIDC_860163_1).

Please refer to your bid submitted for the subject cited above. Following is the result of technical evaluation:

Summary of evaluation of Technical Proposals						Status of Local Supplier	Responsiveness
S. No.	Name of the Firm	Relevant experience for assignment	Use of technology for road inspection	Qualifications & Relevant experience of the key personnel	Total marks		
	Maximum Marks	40	20	40	100		
i.	M/s Chaitanya Projects Consultancy Ltd	30.00	20.00	36.40	86.40	Non-Local Bidder	Technically Responsive
ii.	M/s Shrikhande Consultants Ltd	21.00	17.00	24.46	62.46	Non-Local Bidder	Technically Non-Responsive as per clause 3.4 (x) (e & f) and 5.3 of Section-2 of RFP, as explained in Annexure. Hard copy of Physical BG not submitted.
iii.	M/s Almondz Global Infra Consultant Ltd	28.00	19.00	34.35	81.35	Non-Local Bidder	Technically Responsive
iv.	M/s Lion Engineering Consultants Pvt Ltd	40.00	20.00	36.52	96.52	Non-Local Bidder	Technically Responsive

Summary of evaluation of Technical Proposals						Status of Local Supplier	Responsiveness
S. No.	Name of the Firm	Relevant experience for assignment	Use of technology for road inspection	Qualifications & Relevant experience of the key personnel	Total marks		
	Maximum Marks	40	20	40	100		
	association with M/s Abhishira Infrastructure Consultants Pvt Ltd						
v.	M/s Agnition Infrastructure Projects Pvt Ltd in JV with M/s Ayoleeza consultants Pvt Ltd in association with M/s Infrastructure Development Consultants	30.00	18.00	24.88	72.88	Non-Local Bidder	Technically Non-Responsive as per clause 15 (B) of Section-1 & 4.1.(ii) (A) (I) (c) & 3.4 (x) (e & f) and 5.3 of Section-2 of RFP as explained in Annexure.
vi.	M/s Geo Designs and Research Pvt Ltd in association with M/s Hycons Structural Engineering Consultancy Pvt. Ltd	39.00	20.00	36.48	95.48	Non-Local Bidder	Technically Responsive
vii.	M/s Technocrats Advisory Services Pvt. Ltd in JV with M/s Engineering Consultancy Services in association with M/s Pentacon Associates.	37.50	20.00	36.56	94.06	Non-Local Bidder	Technically Responsive
viii.	M/s Marc Technocrats Limited	24.50	20.00	35.32	89.82	Non-Local Bidder	Technically Responsive

Summary of evaluation of Technical Proposals						Status of Local Supplier	Responsiveness
S. No.	Name of the Firm	Relevant experience for assignment	Use of technology for road inspection	Qualifications & Relevant experience of the key personnel	Total marks		
	Maximum Marks	40	20	40	100		
ix.	M/s ARMENGE Engineering Private Limited in JV with Infra Support Engineering Consultants Pvt Ltd in association with Shree Mahamail Infra Consultant Pvt Ltd	24.00	20.00	36.40	80.40	Non-Local Bidder	Technically Non-Responsive as per clause 15 (B) of Section-1 & 4.1.(ii) (A) (IV) of RFP as explained in Annexure.
x.	M/s MSPARK Futuristics & Associates in JV with M/s Data Technosys (Engineers) Pvt. Ltd.	25.75	18.00	36.62	80.37	Non-Local Bidder	Technically Non-Responsive as per clause 15 (B) of Section-1 of RFP as explained in Annexure.
xi.	M/s. Indian Technocrat Limited in JV with M/s DN Consultant in association with M/s Synerinfra Technocrat Pvt. Ltd.	24.25	18.50	36.23	78.98	Non-Local Bidder	Technically Non-Responsive as per clause 15 (B) of Section-1 of RFP as explained in Annexure.
xii.	M/s Mangalam Infra & Engineering Ltd in JV with M/s Thoughts Consultants Jaipur Pvt. Ltd in association with M/s MRM Associates Pvt Ltd.	27.00	20.00	36.90	83.90	Non-Local Bidder	Technically Non-Responsive as per clause 15 (B) of Section-1 of RFP as explained in Annexure.

2. The bidders are requested to submit their representation, if any, within 07 days as per Cl. 10 (vi) of Data Sheet of RFP (i.e., on or before 26.08.2025) from the date of uploading of result.


19-08-2025

Subodh Kumar
General Manager (Tech.)

Annexure

Sr. No.	Name of Bidder	Remarks
1.	M/s Chaitanya Projects Consultancy Ltd	1. Project name not mentioned in Self undertaking & SA certificate of Local Content. 2. 06 highway professionals found to be working with the firm. The documents uploaded on INFRACON portal in support of availability of rest of highway professionals are outdated. 3. RSE is not having maximum experience in similar capacity in identification and improvement of black spots on Major Highway Project (similar configuration (2/4/6 laning**) and above)
2.	M/s Shrikhande Consultants Ltd	1. Hard copy of Physical Bank Guarantee towards Bid Security not submitted. 2. Local Content Certificate not submitted. 3. None of the highway professionals found to be working with the firm. The documents uploaded on INFRACON portal in support of availability of highway professionals are outdated. 4. Bidder is not having maximum experience of NSV, also not having minimum experience of ATCC. 5. TL is already working in NHIDCL, the CV not considered for evaluation. 6. RE is not having maximum experience as Resident Engineer/ Project Director/ Project Manager/ Superintending Engineer or equivalent/ Executive Engineer or equivalent on construction works/Independent Engineer Projects (similar configuration (2/4/6 laning*) and above). Also, not having minimum experience in similar capacity of Highway Project of Construction/Construction Supervision/IC on PPP Mode (of length 40% of project length or more of similar configuration (2/4/6 laning**) and above) 7. BSE is not having maximum Total Professional Experience in handling Highway/Bridge projects. 8. SPS is not having minimum experience in similar capacity on major Highway projects (of length 40% of project length or more of similar configuration (2/4/6 laning**) and above) in innovative/non-traditional technology and design.
3.	M/s Almondz Global Infra Consultant Ltd	1. SA certificate not submitted for local content. 2. None of the highway professionals found to be working with the firm. The documents uploaded on INFRACON portal in support of availability of highway professionals are outdated. 3. Bidder is having 5 in-hand DPR projects. 4. Bidder is not having maximum experience in Network Survey Vehicle (NSV) or better technology for pavement inspection. 5. TL is not having maximum experience as Team Leader or similar capacity in Highway Development projects (of length 40% of project length or more of similar configuration (2/4/6 laning**) and above) on PPP & project Preparation including design of major highway Project (of length 40% of project length or more of similar configuration (2/4/6 laning**) and above). 6. RE has uploaded on marksheet instead of degree of post-graduation. 7. BSE is not having maximum experience in similar capacity in supervision of Rehabilitation and repair of Major Bridges/ ROB/ Flyover/ Interchanges/ any other structures. 8. RSE is not having minimum experience in similar capacity in Road Safety works on Major Highway Project (similar configuration (2/4/6 laning**) and above) & Road Safety Audits of 2/4/6-laning** Highway projects at different

		stages including at least one at design stage. BSE is also not having maximum experience in similar capacity in identification and improvement of black spots on Major Highway Project (similar configuration (2/4/6 laning**) and above).
4.	M/s Lion Engineering Consultants Pvt Ltd in association with M/s Abhishira Infrastructure Consultants Pvt Ltd	1. SA certificate not submitted for local content. 2. TL is not having minimum experience as Team Leader or similar capacity in Operation and Maintenance of Major Highway (of length 40% of project length or more of similar configuration (2/4/6 laning**) and above). 3. BSE is not having maximum experience in similar capacity in supervision of Major Highway Bridges/ ROB/ Flyover/ Interchanges/ any other structures. 4. RSE is not having maximum Total Professional Experience in handling Highway/ Bridge projects.
5.	M/s Agnitio Infrastructure Projects Pvt Ltd in JV with M/s Ayoleeza consultants Pvt Ltd in association with M/s Infrastructure Development Consultants	1. Local Content Certificate not submitted. 2. JV agreement not submitted in line with the composition of the submitted bid. 3. Integrity Pact not signed by both the JV members. 4. The projects claimed by both the members of JV for meeting the criteria under clause 15 (A) & (B) are not considerable as the projects claimed by the Lead member are completed prior the last 7 years or are completed upto feasibility stage for meeting the experience of preparation of detailed Project Report/ Feasibility Study cum Preliminary Design Report of 2/4/6 laning project of aggregate length equal to 2 times or more of similar category. The other member of JV has submitted projects which are completed prior the last 7 years or sharing/payment details are not submitted for meeting the experience of preparation of detailed Project Report/ Feasibility Study cum Preliminary Design Report of 2/4/6 laning project of aggregate length equal to 2 times or more of similar category. Hence, the bidder is considered technically non-responsive as per clause 15 (B) of Section-1 of RFP. 5. 11 highway professionals found to be working with the firm. The documents uploaded on INFRACON portal in support of availability of highway professionals are outdated. 6. Bidder is not having minimum experience in completed DPR assignments. 7. Bidder is having 1 project having structures more than 500 mtr. 8. TL is already working in NHIDCL, hence, the CV not considered. 9. RSE is not having minimum Experience in similar capacity in identification and improvement of black spots on Major Highway Project (similar configuration (2/4/6 laning**) and above), Experience in similar capacity of preparing Road Safety Management Plans for Inter Urban Highway & field of Road Safety Management Plan.
6.	M/s Geo Designs and Research Pvt Ltd in association with M/s Hycons Structural Engineering Consultancy Pvt. Ltd	1. SA certificate not submitted for local content. 2. 21 highway professionals found to be working with the firm. The documents uploaded on INFRACON portal in support of availability of highway professionals are outdated. 3. TL has uploaded on 4th semester marksheet instead of post-graduation degree. TL is also not having maximum experience as Team Leader or similar capacity of project Preparation including design of major highway Project (of length 40% of project length or more of similar configuration (2/4/6 laning**) and above). 4. RSE is not having maximum Total Professional Experience in handling Highway/ Bridge projects & experience in similar capacity in identification and improvement of black spots on Major Highway Project (similar configuration (2/4/6 laning**) and above).
7.	M/s Technocrats Advisory Services Pvt. Ltd in JV with M/s Engineering	1. Local Content Certificate not submitted. 2. Integrity Pact not signed by both the JV members.

	Consultancy Services in association with M/s Pentacon Associates.	3. 12 highway professionals found to be working with the firm. The documents uploaded on INFRACON portal in support of availability of highway professionals are outdated. 4. Bidder is having 4 projects of having structures more than 500 mtr. 5. TL is not having maximum experience as Team Leader or similar capacity of project Preparation including design of major highway Project (of length 40% of project length or more of similar configuration (2/4/6 laning**) and above) & in Construction Supervision/IC involving length 40% of project length or more of similar configuration (2/4/6** laning) and above.
8.	M/s Marc Technocrats Limited	1. Project name not mentioned in Self undertaking & SA certificate of Local Content. 2. Bidder is not having maximum annual average turnover. 3. 11 highway professionals found to be working with the firm. The documents uploaded on INFRACON portal in support of availability of highway professionals are outdated. 4. Bidder is having 5 in-hand DPR projects. 5. Bidder is having 3 projects of having structures more than 500 mtr. 6. TL is not having maximum experience in position of Team Leader/Project Manager or similar capacity in Construction Supervision/IC involving length 40% of project length or more of similar configuration (2/4/6** laning) and above. 7. RE is not having maximum experience as Resident Engineer/ Project Director/ Project Manager/ Superintending Engineer or equivalent/ Executive Engineer or equivalent on construction works/Independent Engineer Projects (similar configuration (2/4/6 laning*) and above) & in similar capacity in handling major 2/4/6-laning** projects (of length 40% of project length or more of similar configuration (2/4/6 laning**) and above). RE is also not having minimum experience in similar capacity of Highway Project of Construction/Construction Supervision/IC on PPP Mode (of length 40% of project length or more of similar configuration (2/4/6 laning**) and above). 8. BSE is not having minimum experience in similar capacity in supervision of Rehabilitation and repair of Major Bridges/ ROB/ Flyover/ Interchanges/ any other structures. 9. SQME is not having minimum experience in similar capacity on major Highway projects (of length 40% of project length or more of similar configuration (2/4/6 laning**) and above) in innovative/non-traditional technology. 10. RSE is not having maximum experience in similar capacity in Road Safety works on Major Highway Project (similar configuration (2/4/6 laning**) and above).
9.	M/s ARMENGE Engineering Private Limited in JV with Infra Support Engineering Consultants Pvt Ltd in association with Shree Mahamail Infra Consultant Pvt Ltd	1. SA certificate not submitted for local content. 2. Integrity Pact not signed by any of the JV member. 3. The projects claimed by the other member of JV has submitted the projects for meeting the criteria under clause 15 (A) & (B) are not considerable as the bidder has submitted projects completed prior last 7 years from the bid due date or are executed at O&M stage or overwriting/editing certificates are submitted for meeting the criteria of preparation of detailed Project Report/ Feasibility Study cum Preliminary Design Report of 2/4/6 laning project of aggregate length equal to 2 times or more of similar category. Hence, the bidder is considered technically non-responsive as per clause 15 (B) of Section-1 of RFP. 4. 05 highway professionals found to be working with the firm. The documents uploaded on INFRACON portal in support of availability of highway professionals are outdated. 5. Bidder is having 1 project of completed DPR assignment.

		6. Bidder is having 2 in-hand DPR assignments. 7. Bidder is having 1 project having structures more than 500 mtr. 8. TL is not having maximum Experience as Team Leader or similar capacity of project Preparation including design of major highway Project (of length 40% of project length or more of similar configuration (2/4/6 laning**) and above) & Experience as Team Leader or similar capacity in Operation and Maintenance of Major Highway (of length 40% of project length or more of similar configuration (2/4/6 laning**) and above).
10.	M/s MSPARK Futuristics & Associates in JV with M/s Data Technosys (Engineers) Pvt. Ltd.	1. SA certificate not submitted for local content. 2. The projects claimed by the other member of JV has submitted the projects for meeting the criteria under clause 15 (A) & (B) are not considerable as the sharing/payment details are not submitted for meeting the experience of Project Supervision/ Independent Engineer/ Authority's Engineer of 2/4/6 laning project of aggregate length equal to 3 times or more of similar category and preparation of detailed Project Report/ Feasibility Study cum Preliminary Design Report of 2/4/6 laning project of aggregate length equal to 2 times or more of similar. Hence, the bidder is considered technically non-responsive as per clause 15 (B) of Section-1 of RFP. 3. Other member of JV has not submitted audited financial statements for the FY 2021-22 & 2023-24. 4. None of the highway professionals found to be working with the firm. The documents uploaded on INFRACON portal in support of availability of highway professionals are outdated. 5. Bidder is having 3 projects of completed Supervision. 6. Bidder is having 3 projects of completed DPR assignment 7. Bidder is having 1 project having structures more than 500 mtr. 8. TL is not having maximum Experience as Team Leader or similar capacity in Highway Development projects (of length 40% of project length or more of similar configuration (2/4/6 laning**) and above) on PPP. 9. BSE is not having maximum Experience in similar capacity in supervision of Major Highway Bridges/ ROB/ Flyover/ Interchanges/ any other structures. 10. RSE is not having maximum Experience in similar capacity in Road Safety works on Major Highway Project (similar configuration (2/4/6 laning**) and above).
11.	M/s. Indian Technocrat Limited in JV with M/s DN Consultant in association with M/s Synerinfra Technocrat Pvt. Ltd.	1. Project name not mentioned in Self undertaking & SA certificate for Local Content not submitted. 2. The projects claimed by the lead member of JV for meeting the criteria under clause 15 (A) & (B) are not considerable as the lead member has not submitted any sharing details for projects executed in JV/Association in the past experience or date of completion not mentioned in the client certificates for meeting the experience of detailed Project Report/ Feasibility Study cum Preliminary Design Report of 2/4/6 laning project of aggregate length equal to 2 times or more of similar. Further, jointly, the bidder is not meeting the minimum eligibility required. Hence, the bidder is considered technically non-responsive as per clause 15 (B) of Section-1 of RFP. 3. Other member of JV has not submitted audited financial statements for the FY 2019-20, 2020-21 & 2021-22. 4. 01 highway professionals found to be working with the firm. The documents uploaded on INFRACON portal in support of availability of highway professionals are outdated. 5. Bidder is having 2 projects of completed DPR assignments. 6. Bidder is having 3 in-hand DPR assignments.

		7. Bidder is having 1 project having structures more than 500 mtr. 8. TL is not having maximum Experience as Team Leader or similar capacity of project Preparation including design of major highway Project (of length 40% of project length or more of similar configuration (2/4/6 laning**) and above). 9. SPS is not having maximum Experience in similar capacity on PPP Projects (of length 40% of project length or more of similar configuration (2/4/6 laning**) and above). 10. SQME is not having maximum Total Professional Experience in handling Highway/ Bridge projects 11. RSE is not having maximum Experience in similar capacity in Road Safety works on Major Highway Project (similar configuration (2/4/6 laning**) and above).
12.	M/s Mangalam Infra & Engineering Ltd in JV with M/s Thoughts Consultants Jaipur Pvt. Ltd in association with M/s MRM Associates Pvt Ltd.	1. Project name not mentioned in Self undertaking & SA certificate of Local Content. 2. The projects claimed by the lead member of JV for meeting the criteria under clause 15 (A) & (B) are not considerable as the lead member has not submitted any sharing details for projects executed in JV/Association in the past experience of Project Supervision/ Independent Engineer/ Authority's Engineer of 2/4/6 laning project of aggregate length equal to 3 times or more of similar category. Also, the lead member of JV is not meeting the requirement of having atleast one project of similar category of two/four/six** laning work of length equal to 40% of project length. Hence, the bidder is considered technically non-responsive as per clause 15 (B) of Section-1 of RFP. 3. 06 highway professionals found to be working with the firm. The documents uploaded on INFRACON portal in support of availability of highway professionals are outdated. 4. Bidder is having 2 projects of completed Supervision assignments. 5. Bidder is having 3 projects having structures more than 500 mtr. 6. SPS is not having maximum Total Professional Experience of Pavement Design/ Construction and Maintenance of Highways/ Roads/ Air Field Runway.